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CS 475, Fall 2019
Concurrent & Distributed Systems
RPC: High Level Approach

![Diagram showing the high level approach of RPC with Caller Machine and Callee Machine.]

- **Caller Machine**
  - User Code
  - local call
  - local return

- **Callee Machine**
  - User Code
  - local call
  - work
  - local return
Shared Fate

- Two methods/threads/processes running on the same computer generally have **shared fate**
- They will either both crash, or neither will crash
Split Brain in RPC

Times out, assumes server crashed

Address Book
Client Stub
addPerson(“Prof Bell”, “ENGR 4422”);

Client

RPC Magic

Address Book
Server Stub
addPerson(“Prof Bell”, “ENGR 4422”);

Server

Split brain: Client thinks addPerson didn’t succeed, server did complete it though!
Split Brain in RPC

This gets even worse when you consider more complicated semantics

Who has the lock?
RPC Semantics

• No matter what we do, if we want RPC, we have networks, networks might have timeouts/failures

• How do we handle the potential for split brain?
  • If we don't hear a response, just freeze?

• What can the abstraction guarantee?
  • Leak some of this complexity through
Java RMI

• Synchronous (client method doesn’t return until server completes)
• At most once delivery
• Hence, in the event of a communication failure, an exception is thrown on your client

• Implications:
  • Client code needs to be aware that failures might happen (and exception might be thrown)
  • Client code needs to have some plan to handle when a message fails to get through (application specific)
Java RMI

• Threading model:
  • What happens when there are multiple simultaneous RMI requests to the same server?
  • RMI creates a *thread pool*, a set of threads ready to handle each request
    • Subsequent calls from the same client might or might not use the same thread
    • Subsequent calls from other clients might use the same thread as others
  • Implications:
    • Can process multiple requests simultaneously
    • Need to be cognizant of thread safety
public interface AddressBook extends Remote {
    public LinkedList<Person> getAddressBook() throws RemoteException;

    public void addPerson(Person p) throws RemoteException;
}

AddressBook book = new AddressBookServer();
AddressBook stub = (AddressBook) UnicastRemoteObject.exportObject(book, 0);
Registry registry = LocateRegistry.createRegistry(port);
registry.rebind("AddressBook", stub);

Registry registry = LocateRegistry.getRegistry("localhost", 9000);
AddressBook addressBook = (AddressBook) registry.lookup("AddressBook");
Java RMI

• Registration of a server makes it possible for a client to locate the server and bind to it.

• Server location is done in two steps:
  • Locate the server’s machine.
  • Locate the server on that machine.
Split Brain in RPC

This gets even worse when you consider more complicated semantics

Who has the lock? How do we handle this?
Split Brain in RPC

This gets even worse when you consider more complicated semantics

Who has the lock? How do we handle this?
Sidebar: Heartbeat Protocols

- Allow client/server to remain aware of each other’s status
- For HW3: does client still have locks (client checking server, server checking client)

Client

```
lock("foo")
```

```
OK, stamp = 1
Hi, I’m stamp 1, still have foo
```

Server

```
CRASH!
```

Hmm, I guess server is gone, maybe lock is not valid
Sidebar: Heartbeat Protocols

- Allow client/server to remain aware of each other’s status
- For HW3: does client still have locks (client checking server, server checking client)

Client

- lock(“foo”)
- OK, stamp = 1
- Hi, I’m stamp 1, still have foo
- OK

Server

CRASH!

Hmm, I guess foo is no longer locked
We call these time-limited locks **leases**

What does a lease guarantee?

- If no network failures
  - Locks that are relinquished when client crashes
- If network failures/delays:
  - Nothing
RPC Summary

- Expose RPC properties to client, since you cannot hide them
- Application writers have to decide how to deal with partial failures
- Consider: E-commerce application vs. game
RPC on the Web

- How do we do RPC on the web?
- Challenges for scaling up (more clients) and out (heterogeneous clients)
  - Need to get beyond RMI (it’s Java only)
  - How do we find API endpoints?
  - How do we format requests?
  - How do we encode data?
Today

• Distributed Systems Architectures: How do we build a big thing from lots of little things?
• Today: How to compose some big blocks
• Next few weeks: How to build each of those blocks
• Reminders:
  • HW3 Posted
  • Prof Bell out of town next week
Distributed Systems Abstractions

• Goal: find some way of making our distributed system look like a single system
• Never achievable in practice
• BUT if we can come up with some model of how the world might behave, we can come up with some generic solutions that work pretty well
• And hopefully we can understand how they can go wrong
Abstractions & Architectures

• We can design architectures that embody some systems model, providing some framework code to make it easier to get some task done
• Case study example: web architectures
• Assumptions:
  • “one” server, many clients
  • Synchronous communication
  • Client is unlikely to be partitioned from a subset of servers; likely some subset of servers are partitioned from other servers
  • Client is mostly stateless
The good old days of web apps

HTTP Request
GET /myApplicationEndpoint HTTP/1.1
Host: cs.gmu.edu
Accept: text/html

Runs a program

Give me /myApplicationEndpoint

Here’s some text to send back

HTTP Response
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8
<html><head>...
Backend Frameworks

- Then: **frameworks**
- SailsJS, Ruby on Rails, PHP Symfony, Python Django, ASP.NET, EJB…
- MVC - separate presentation, logic and persistence
Scaling web architectures up

• What happens when we have to use this approach to run, say… Facebook?
• Tons of dynamic content that needs to be updated, petabytes of static content (like pictures), users physically located all over, lots of stuff to keep track of, where do we start?
Real Architectures

N-Tier Web Architectures

Internet

Clients

External Cache

Web Servers

Internal Cache

App Servers

Misc Services

Database servers
Real Architectures

• For each layer…
• What is it?
• Why?
External cache

• What is it?
• A proxy (e.g. squid, apache mod_proxy)
• A content delivery network (CDN) e.g. Akamai, CloudFlare
External cache

- What is it for?
  - Caches outbound data
    - Images, CSS, XML, HTML, pictures, videos, anything static (some stuff dynamic maybe)
  - DoS defense
  - Decrease latency - might be close to the user
 External cache

- What is it made of?
  - Tons of RAM, fast network, physically located all over
- No need for much CPU
Front-end Tier

- Serves static content from disk, generates dynamic content by dispatching requests to app tier
- Speaks HTTP, HTTPS
Application Server Tier

- Serves dynamic pages
- Provides internal services
  - E.g. search, shopping cart, account management
- Talks to web tier over..
  - RPC, REST, CORBA, RMI, SOAP, XMLRPC… whatever
- More CPU-bound than any other tier
Database Tier

- Relational or non-relational DB
- PostgreSQL, MySQL, Mongo, Cassandra, etc
- Most storage
Internal Caching Tier

- Has tons of memory, right near the app servers to cache application-level (dynamic) objects.
Internal Services Tier

• Coordination services
  • E.g. time keeping
• Monitoring & maintenance services
N-Tier Web Architectures

Separate out responsibilities with abstractions: each tier cares about a different aspect of getting the client their response.
How do we build big apps?

What happens when we want to add more functionality to our backend?
How do we build big apps?

Our Cool App

Frontend

Backend Server

Database

Basic todo app with new feature to email todo reminders

What happens when we add more functionality?
How do we build big apps?

But we’re smart, and learned about modules, so our backend isn’t total spaghetti but rather…

Our Cool App

Frontend

Backend Server

Database

Basic todo app with new feature to email todo reminders PLUS something to find events on Facebook and create Todos for them
How do we build big apps?

Our Cool App

Frontend

Backend Server

Mod 1
Mod 2
Mod 3
Mod 4
Mod 5
Mod 6

Database

Sweet: Our backend is not an unorganized mess, but instead just modules. Now how do we scale it? Run multiple backends?
Now how do we scale it?

We run multiple copies of the backend, each with each of the modules.
What's wrong with this picture?

- This is called the “monolithic” app
- If we need 100 servers…
- Each server will have to run EACH module
- What if we need more of some modules than others?
- How do we update individual modules?
- Do all modules need to use the same DB and language, runtime etc?
Microservices

Our Cool App

Frontend

“Dumb” Backend

AJAX

NodeJS, Firebase

Todos

REST service

Database

Google Service

Accounts

REST service

Database

Java, MySQL

Mailer

REST service

Database

Search Engine

REST service

Database

Java, Neo4J

Analytics

REST service

Database

C#, SQLServer

Facebook Crawler

REST service

Database

Python, Firebase

Todos

Java, Firebase

Accounts

JavaScript

Mailer

C#, SQLServer

Analytics

Java, Neo4J

Facebook Crawler

Python, Firebase
What’s good about this picture?

• Spaghetti is contained
• Components can be developed totally independently
  • Different languages, runtimes, OS, hardware, DB
• Components can be replaced easily
  • Could even change technology entirely (or use legacy service)
• Can scale individual components at different rates
  • Components may require different levels of resources
Requirements for successful microservices

- 1 component = 1 service
- 1 business use case = 1 component
- Smart endpoints, dumb pipes
- Decentralized governance
- Decentralized data management
- Infrastructure automation
- Design for failure
- Evolutionary design
Organization around business capabilities

**Classic teams:**
1 team per “tier”

- **Frontend**
  - Orders, shipping, catalog

- **Backend**
  - Orders, shipping, catalog

- **Database**
  - Orders, shipping, catalog
Organization around business capabilities

Example: Amazon

Teams can focus on one business task
And be responsible directly to users

“Full Stack”

“2 pizza teams”
N-Tier Web Architectures

Separate out responsibilities with abstractions: each tier cares about a different aspect of getting the client their response.
Abstracting the tiers

• Take, for instance, this *internal cache*
• Can we build one really good internal cache, and use it for all of our problems?
• What is a reasonable model for the cache?
  • Partition: yes (get more RAM to use from other servers)
  • Replicate: NO (don’t care about crash-failures)
  • Consistency: Problem shouldn’t arise (aside from figuring out keys)
How much more can we abstract our system?

• At its most basic… what does a program in a distributed system look like?
  • It runs concurrently on multiple nodes
  • Those nodes are connected by some network (which surely isn’t perfectly reliable)
  • There is no shared memory or clock
• So…
  • Knowledge can be localized to a node
  • Nodes can fail/recover independently
  • Messages can be delayed or lost
  • Clocks are not necessarily synchronized -> hard to identify global order
Back to reality

• That's a little TOO abstract - given that system, how can we define a good way to build one?

• In practice, we need to make assumptions about:
  • Node capabilities, and how they fail
  • Communication links, and how they fail
  • Properties of the overall system (e.g. assumptions about time and order)
Designing and Building Distributed Systems

To help design our algorithms and systems, we tend to leverage abstractions and models to make assumptions.

Generally: Stronger assumptions -> worse performance

Weaker assumptions -> more complicated

- System model:
  - Synchronous
  - Asynchronous

- Failure Model:
  - Byzantine
  - Partitions

- Consistency Model:
  - Sequential (Strong)
  - Eventual (Weak)
Timing & Ordering Assumptions

- No matter what, there will be some latency between nodes processing the same thing.
- What model do we assume though?
  - Synchronous
    - Processes execute in lock-step
    - We (the designers) have a known upper bound on message transmission delay
    - Each process (somehow) maintains an accurate clock
  - Asynchronous
    - Opposite - processes can run out of order, network arbitrarily delayed
Modeling network transmissions

• Assuming how long it can take a message to be delivered helps us figure out what a failure is
• Assume (for instance), messages are always delivered (and never lost) within 1 sec of being sent
• Now, if no response received after 2 sec, we know remote host failed
• Typically NOT reasonable assumptions
Back to synchronous models…

• So, it’ll be possible to ensure that one event doesn’t occur before another
• It won’t be free
  • We need to keep these clocks in sync by sending messages back and forth!
• We now have a new way to fail…
  • If these clock messages start getting dropped
Asynchronous Systems

• The exact opposite
• Do not rely on any timing assumptions
• Processes execute at their own rates
• Messages can be delayed indefinitely
• No useful clocks
To help design our algorithms and systems, we tend to leverage abstractions and models to make assumptions.

Generally: Stronger assumptions -> worse performance
Weak assumptions -> more complicated

- **System model**
  - Synchronous
  - Asynchronous

- **Failure Model**
  - Byzantine
  - Partitions
  - Crash-fail

- **Consistency Model**
  - Sequential
  - Eventual
Nodes in our system

- Nodes...
  - Run programs
  - Store data in volatile memory (lost on crash) and stable storage (persists past some crashes)
  - Have some clock (may or may not be accurate)

- Key assumptions:
  - Nodes should typically behave *deterministically* given the same inputs/messages/system state
  - Nodes are well-behaved, and fail by crashing (which they might be able to self-recover from)
Communication Links in our System

- Does the network ensure ordering?
  - E.g. FIFO
- Is the network reliable?
  - E.g. will all messages eventually be delivered?
- Typically we make no other assumptions about the network
- Fail via partition
Byzantine Failures
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The robot devil will return in lecture 26
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